In this paper DDNC phenomenon in a bottom heated enclosure exposed to mass diffusion from its side walls is investigated numerically. The interplay between thermal and solutal buoyancy forces on fluid circulation and heat transfer rates is studied in three different enclosures of aspect ratios 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0. Finite element base numerical code has used to solve the governing equations, here velocity and vorticity are taken as primary variables for flow field. Numerical results are well validated with that of the literature. The relative strength of solutal to thermal buoyancy forces is defined by buoyancy ratio parameter N, numerical investigations were carried out for different values of N in the range of 0 to 10 and three different Rayleigh numbers(Ra=1.0×10^3,1.0×10^4,1.0×10^5). From results it is observed that solutal buoyancy forces broken down the larger fluid cells and promoted local circulations of stronger strength. Increase in contribution of solutal buoyancy force has resulted in a maximum of 12.6%, 14.5% and 51% increase in average Nusselt number for shallow, square and deep enclosures respectively when heat transfer is primarily by convection while the effect of buoyancy ratio is not felt much on heat transfer at diffusion dominant conditions i.e at Ra=1.0×10^3.

Keywords: natural convection, cavity, buoyancy ratio, double diffusive

1. INTRODUCTION

Double diffusive natural convection (DDNC) flows are widely seen in many industrial systems such as CVD chambers, food processing units, chemical engineering setups etc. where it’s important to study fluid and heat flow patterns for various reasons. Natural convection phenomenon in enclosures is one of the widely studied research subject over years due to its importance in many practical applications (Heather et al., 2013; Manoj et al., 2014). Since last decade there is an increasing level of interest among the researchers in studying the flow behavior and heat transfer mechanism in the enclosures under the combined influence of thermal and solutal buoyancy forces. These problems are also called as double diffusive natural convection (DDNC) or thermo-solutal convection problems. Such type of fluid convection is usually encountered in many mechanical and chemical engineering systems and in numerous geophysical phenomena, including convection in the oceans, in the earth's outer core etc (Wang et al. 2014; Aouachria et al., 2012; Kaladhar and Srinivasacharya, 2014; Manoj et al., 2015; Kumar et al., 2011). Because of their wide importance double diffusive convection flows are studied in different types of systems by various researchers.

An initial experimental work on DDNC has been conducted in low aspect ratio rectangular enclosures whose side walls are differentially heated and salted (kamotani et al., 1985). They reported that study of flow structures in such conditions with wide difference in diffusion rates is important for crystalline growth applications. Costa et al., (1997) established a numerical model for double diffusive natural convection phenomenon in an enclosure with mass diffusive wall using moist air as medium. This model could effectively visualise heat and mass lines throughout the enclosure. Beghein et al. (1992) carried out research on DDNC in a differentially heated and salted square enclosure where the main focus was kept on understanding the effect of solutal buoyancy forces for a fixed thermal Rayleigh number \(10^6\), they gave correlations for Nusselt number and Sherwood number for the range of parameters considered in their research. Later Nishimura et al. (1998) came up with newer perspective of examining the formation of fluid cells under different buoyancy ratios. They addressed the oscillatory behaviour of the convection cells with change in buoyancy ratio parameter N. Hyun and Lee (1990) made an attempt to understand the nature of DDNC in the case of rectangular enclosure and exposed the major discrepancies in evolution pattern between cooperating and opposing flows through stream lines, temperature and concentration plots. More extensive study on the effect of different parameters on DDNC phenomenon was carried out by Chen et al. (2010). They have chosen higher Rayleigh number regime \(10^6\) and \(10^7\), different aspect ratios (0.5-2.0) and buoyancy ratios (0.8<N<1.3). Results shown that at Ra=10^6 only one fluid cell is observed for different aspect ratios but for buoyancy ratios greater than unity, a number of vortices were generated and they varied with change in enclosure aspect ratio. Few works concentrated on the study of DDNC phenomenon in enclosures with higher aspect ratios.

Among them Han and Kuhn (1991) figured out that at aspect ratio 4 in a differentially heated enclosure, an uncell flow was observed without any clear demarcation weather the case is pure solutal dominant one or pure thermal dominant one. Chouikh et al. (2007) analysed DDNC in an inclined glazing enclosure of aspect ratio 10 resembling the solar distillation set up. It was observed that the formation of single rotating cell is found to be favourable for allowing sufficient time for vapour to cool down and better performance of solar distiller. Qin et al. (2014) investigated double diffusive convection in a differentially heated rectangular enclosure of aspect ratio 2 using a compact difference method. Alloui et al. (2010) considered the soret effect in their analysis on DDNC in a very shallow enclosure filled...
with binary mixtures subjected to solutal flux on horizontal boundaries. Few investigations (Nikbakhti and Rahimi, 2012; Khodakhah and Nikbakhti, 2016; Oueslati et al., 2014; Jenaa et al., 2015) were carried out on double-diffusive natural convection in cavities whose side walls are partially heated and cooled.. Nazari et al. (2015), Moufekkir et al. (2015) and Ren et al. (2016) numerically investigated double diffusive natural convection in cavities using Lattice Boltzmann method. Corcione et al. (2015) gave correlations for the ddcn in square enclosures induced by opposite temperature and concentration gradients.

It has been observed that most of the works carried out till date dealt with interaction of thermos-solutal buoyancy forces in traditional differentially heated enclosures , otherwise very limited outcomes are available in the literature on other models of heating and salting. In the current study an attempt is made to investigate the heat and fluid flow characteristics in bottom heated enclosure subjected to mass diffusive current study an attempt is made to investigate the heat and fluid flow double-diffusive natural convection in cavities whose side walls are partially heated and cooled.. Numerical experiments were conducted on enclosures of three different aspect ratios 0.5(shallow),1.0(square) and 2.0(deep) and results are discussed. The effect of interplay between thermal and solutal buoyancy forces on fluid convection and heat transfer has been explored for 0<N<10, 10^3<Ra<10^5

### 2. GOVERNING EQUATIONS

Flow is considered in compressible and Boussinesq approximation for density variations. The following are the governing equations that are solved for the present double diffusive convection problem.

Vorticity transport equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + (V \cdot \nabla) \omega = \nabla \times \left( \mathbf{g} \beta |T - T_{c}| \right) + \nabla \times \left( \mathbf{g} \beta (C - C_{c}) \right)
\]

(1)

where \( T \) is temperature, \( C \) is concentration, \( V = (u, v) \) are the velocity components in \( x \)- and \( y \)-directions respectively, \( \omega \) is the vorticity component.

Velocity- Poisson equation:

\[
V \cdot V = - \nabla \cdot \omega
\]

(2)

Energy equation:

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + V \cdot (VT) = \alpha \nabla^{2} T
\]

(3)

Solutal concentration equation:

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + V \cdot (VC) = D \nabla^{2} C
\]

(4)

Equations (1),(2),(3) and (4) are the converted in to non-dimensional form by applying the following scaling parameters Spatial coordinates, \( X = x / L \), \( Y = y / L \), velocities, \( U = u / L \alpha \), \( V = v / L \alpha \), vorticity, \( \zeta = \alpha \nabla^{2} \alpha \), time, \( \tau = \alpha t / L^{2} \), temperature \( \Theta = T - T_{c} / T_{h} - T_{c} \) and solutal concentration \( \Phi = C - C_{c} / C_{h} - C_{c} \). After substituting the above scaling parameters the dimension form of governing equations are transformed as the following.

Vorticity transport equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial Y} = \text{Pr} \left( \frac{\partial^{2} \zeta}{\partial X^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} \zeta}{\partial Y^{2}} \right) + \text{Ra} \text{Pr} \left( N \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial Y} \right)
\]

Velocity Poisson equation

\[
\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial X^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial Y^{2}} = - \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial Y}
\]

(6a)

\[
\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial X^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial Y^{2}} = - \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial X}
\]

(6b)

Energy equation

\[
\frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Y} = \frac{\partial^{2} \Theta}{\partial X^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} \Theta}{\partial Y^{2}}
\]

(7)

Solutal concentration equation

\[
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Y} = \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial X^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial Y^{2}}
\]

(8)

where non-dimensional numbers are defined as, Rayleigh number \( Ra = g \beta c \Delta T/\nu \alpha \), buoyancy ratio \( N = \beta \Delta c \beta r \Delta T = G R \nu \beta r \), Prandtl number, \( Pr = \nu / \alpha \) and \( Le = Sc / Pr \).

These non-dimensional equations (Eqs. (5) to (8)) are then reduced to weak form by applying Galerkin’s weighted residual finite element method, integrated over the elements to finally obtain the matrix form at element level as presented below.

Vorticity transport equation:

\[
\left[ \left[ C_{ijk} \right] + 0 \Delta \left[ K_{ijk} \right] + 0 \Delta \left[ G_{ijk} \right] \right] \left[ \zeta_{ijkl} \right] = \left[ C_{ijk} \right] \left[ \zeta_{ijkl} \right] - \left[ 1 - 0 \right] \Delta \left[ K_{ijk} \right] \left[ \zeta_{ijkl} \right] + \text{Ra} \text{Pr} \Delta \left[ S_{ijkl} \right] \left[ \Theta_{ijkl} \right] - \left[ 1 - 0 \right] \Delta \left[ G_{ijkl} \right] \left[ \Theta_{ijkl} \right]
\]

(9)

Velocity Poisson equations:

\[
\left[ K_{ijk} \right] \left[ U_{ijkl} \right] = \left[ T_{ijkl} \right] \left[ \zeta_{ijkl} \right]
\]

(10a)

\[
\left[ K_{ijk} \right] \left[ V_{ijkl} \right] = - \left[ S_{ijkl} \right] \left[ \zeta_{ijkl} \right]
\]

(10b)

Energy equation

\[
\left[ C_{ijk} \right] + 0 \Delta \left[ K_{ijk} \right] \left[ \Theta_{ijkl} \right] = \left[ C_{ijk} \right] \left[ \Theta_{ijkl} \right] - \left[ 1 - 0 \right] \Delta \left[ G_{ijk} \right] \left[ \Theta_{ijkl} \right]
\]

(11)

Solutal concentration equation

\[
\left[ C_{ijk} \right] + 0 \Delta \left[ K_{ijk} \right] \left[ \Phi_{ijkl} \right] = \left[ C_{ijk} \right] \left[ \Phi_{ijkl} \right] - \left[ 1 - 0 \right] \Delta \left[ G_{ijkl} \right] \left[ \Phi_{ijkl} \right]
\]

(12)

where \( i \), \( j \) and \( k \) are the element, row and column indices respectively and \( \theta \) is a weighting factor for time discretization with range, \( 0 \leq \theta \leq 1 \), and
to solve for the next time level. The algebraic equations obtained at converged at the present time level, the iteration procedure is repeated specified value i.e 10 is followed till the error in thermal, solutal and flow fields reduces to consequences of approximation an iterative computational procedure To minimize the residual in the computational domain formed as approximated using discretization techniques. Second order-accurate for each element the partial differential governing questions are first discretized into a number of bilinear iso-parametric elements and appropriate initial and boundary conditions. The solution domain is and concentration are solved over computation domain with The above shown final equations of vorticity, velocity poison, energy equations after enforcing the thermal boundary conditions. Obtain vorticity field by solving vorticity transport equation boundaries. Adiabatic solutal boundary conditions are chosen on both horizontal walls and a linearly varying concentration profile is assumed along the vertical walls as shown in Figure 1. In the current work the change in heat and fluid flow pattern with increase in strength of solutal buoyancy forces has been studied for different parameters in the enclosures of aspect ratio 0.5, 1 and 2. Initial and boundary conditions chosen for the present problem are given in the following equations.

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \]
\[ \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial y} = 0 \]
\[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = 0 \]
\[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = 0 \]
\[ \Theta = 1 - \frac{y}{H} \]

3. SOLUTION METHODOLOGY

The above shown final equations of vorticity, velocity poison, energy and concentration are solved over computation domain with appropriate initial and boundary conditions. The solution domain is first discretized into a number of bilinear iso-parametric elements and for each element the partial differential governing questions are approximated using discretization techniques. Second order-accurate Crank–Nicolson scheme is used for discretization of time derivatives. To minimize the residual in the computational domain formed as consequences of approximations an iterative computational procedure is followed till the error in thermal, solutal and flow fields reduces to specified value i.e. \( 10^{-5} \). Once the solution in all the flow fields is converged at the present time level, the iteration procedure is repeated to solve for the next time level. The algebraic equations obtained at each node are solved without assembling using global matrix free algorithm. Conjugate gradient iterative solver is employed for the solution of the final nodal equations.

Computational algorithm

The below shown computation procedure followed to obtain numerical solution

(i) Perform the integral operations for all element matrices as shown in equations (9) to (12) (ii) Determine the elements surrounding each boundary node for vorticity, velocity, temperature and solutal concentration fields based on the specified Dirichlet boundary conditions.

(iii) Start computing over entire domain for solution at \( t = t + \Delta t \).

(a) Initialize the vorticity field

(b) Enforce velocity boundary conditions at element level and solve for velocity field using velocity Poisson equations.

(c) Using the components of velocity obtained in previous step over flow domain compute for vorticity values at the boundaries.

(d) Obtain vorticity field by solving vorticity transport equation after enforcing vorticity boundary conditions at elemental level.

(e) Obtain temperature field by solving the corresponding energy equations after enforcing the thermal boundary conditions.

(f) Obtain for solutal field by solving the corresponding solutal concentration equations after enforcing the boundary conditions.

(iv) Go to step (iii) for the next time step.

Computational domain

The schematic diagram of the problem domain is shown in Figure 1. Bottom wall is kept hot and top wall is maintained cold, while both the vertical walls are assumed adiabatic to heat flow. No-slip boundary conditions for velocity components are assumed on each of the boundaries. Adiabatic solutal boundary conditions are chosen on both horizontal walls and a linearly varying concentration profile is assumed along the vertical walls as shown in Figure 1. In the current work the change in heat and fluid flow pattern with increase in strength of solutal buoyancy forces has been studied for different parameters in the enclosures of aspect ratio 0.5, 1 and 2. Initial and boundary conditions chosen for the present problem are given in the following equations.

\[ \tau = 0, \quad U = V = \Theta = \Phi = 0 \]

Boundary conditions for \( \tau > 0 \)

\[ U = V = 0, \quad \Theta = 1, \quad \Phi = 0 \] at \( Y = 0 \) for \( 0 < X < 1 \)

\[ U = V = 0, \quad \Theta = 0, \quad \Phi = 0 \] at \( Y = 1 \) for \( 0 < X < 1 \)

\[ U = V = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial X} = 1 - \frac{Y}{H} \] at \( X = 0 \) and \( X = 1 \) for \( 0 < Y < 1 \)

4. MESH SENSITIVITY AND VALIDATION

Structured non-uniform mesh has been used in the current work; figure 2 gives the picture of computational mesh used for square cavity. Transfinite interpolation(TFI) method is used to generate computational grid. The computer code developed has been tested for grid independence for double diffusive natural convection in enclosures with aspect ratios 0.5, 1 and 2 for \( N=10 \) and \( Ra=10^4 \) using three different meshes.
5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the current study numerical investigations were carried out for the bottom heated enclosure with salted side walls as shown in Figure 1. Presence of varying strength concentration source on side walls creates a secondary density difference in the enclosure and initiates a solutal convection accompanying thermal convection. In the present section results corresponding to detailed study on thermo-solutal convection with change in buoyancy ratio at different operating parameters has been presented. The relative strength of solutal to thermal buoyancy forces is denoted by buoyancy ratio $N$. Here $N=0$ corresponds to pure thermal convection case and at $N=10$ solutal convection strongly dominates over thermal. Results corresponding to detailed investigation on flow field, temperature, concentration and Nusselt number are illustrated in the following sections. The Rayleigh number is assumed to vary from $10^3$ to $10^5$ and buoyancy ratio from 0 to 10 and the results are discussed for three aspect ratios, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>s.no</th>
<th>Aspect ratio</th>
<th>Mesh</th>
<th>Avg Nu</th>
<th>Error(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AR=0.5</td>
<td>41x21 (M1)</td>
<td>2.6007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51x25 (M2)</td>
<td>2.6033</td>
<td>0.0998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>61x31 (M3)</td>
<td>2.6042</td>
<td>0.0345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AR=1</td>
<td>41x41 (M1)</td>
<td>2.1752</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51x51 (M2)</td>
<td>2.1778</td>
<td>0.1239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>61x61 (M3)</td>
<td>2.1782</td>
<td>0.0138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AR=2</td>
<td>21x41 (M1)</td>
<td>1.7374</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25x51 (M2)</td>
<td>1.7382</td>
<td>0.0460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31x61 (M3)</td>
<td>1.7384</td>
<td>0.0115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pr=1</th>
<th>Ra=10^3</th>
<th>Ra=10^4</th>
<th>Ra=10^5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present work</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>2.155</td>
<td>3.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turan et al. (2012)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.162</td>
<td>3.934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error (%)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>0.533</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pr=10</th>
<th>Ra=10^3</th>
<th>Ra=10^4</th>
<th>Ra=10^5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present work</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.193</td>
<td>3.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turan et al. (2012)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.188</td>
<td>3.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error (%)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.2285</td>
<td>0.4395</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1 Stream line and vorticity patterns

Figures 4 to 6 show the streamline and vorticity contours in all the three enclosures at different buoyancy ratios for Rayleigh numbers, $10^3$, $10^4$ and $10^5$ respectively.

From stream lines at $Ra=10^5$ (see Figure 4a) it is observed that at $N=0$ i.e under pure thermal convection case single circular fluid cell is formed in case of square enclosure (AR=1). In case of shallow enclosure (AR=0.5) where relative distance between hot and cold walls is less when compared to adiabatic side walls two such circulation cells are noticed, while a single vertically elongated circulation cell is observed with deep enclosure configuration (AR=2). Along with these large fluid cells a couple of small cells are observed at one of the diagonally opposite corners. With increase in buoyancy ratio from 0 to 10, these small circulation cells have grown in size and squeezed the fluid in between to form a quadra cell structure in case of AR=1. While
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Fig. 4 Effect of buoyancy ration on (i) Stream line and (ii) vorticity patterns in cavities of aspect ratios (a)0.5 (b) 1.0 and (c)2.0 at Ra=10^5

for AR=2 case they ended up with a pattern of narrow fluid passage between two large circulations cells. In case of AR=0.5 flow structure has not changed much with increase in buoyancy ratio. From these observations one can say that increase in strength of solutal convection is promoting the formation of multiple fluid circulation cells. Such circulations are favorable for the local mixing of reactant in chemical process but may also create thermal imbalance and no-uniformity at global level. From vorticity results (see Figure 4b) it is observed that at N=0, square enclosure (AR=1.0) exhibited low vorticity characteristics and shallow (AR=0.5) dominated among the three. With increase in buoyancy ratio it is observed that all the three enclosures exhibited increased level of fluid vorticity strength. Thus one can say that increase in contribution of solutal buoyancy forces adding the fluid circulation strength, this is favorable for higher heat transfer rates. Another important observation is higher fluid vorticity is noticed in case of deep enclosure and low with shallow enclosure where thermal boundaries are relatively close.

Figure 5a and 5b presents the stream line and vorticity contours respectively for different buoyancy ratios and aspect ratios in case of Rayleigh number=10^4. Under relatively weaker buoyancy forces with decrease in Rayleigh number to Ra=10^3 a different kind of flow patterns are observed for change in contribution of solutal buoyancy forces. At N=0 stream line pattern remained same for AR=0.5 and AR=1.0 case but for AR=2.0 case dual elongated circular structures are noticed. At N=1 surprisingly Quadra oval structures have formed in case of shallow and deep enclosures with further increase in N value these oval shaped structures got slightly deformed in shallow case while in case of deep enclosure two of the diagonally opposite cells tried to merge. A dual circulation cells have noticed in case of square enclosure at N=1, with further increase in N to 5 and 10 fluid tried to break down into Quadra cells. Interestingly vorticity contours (see Figure 5b) revealed that hardly any circulation strength is noticed in case of shallow and deep cavities at N=0 when flow is purely governed by thermal convection. With introduction of solutal convection i.e. at N=1 some amount of vorticity is noticed, which increased with further increase in N value. Here square enclosure showed strong circulation strength when compared to other two for all buoyancy ratios. This exposed that both increase and decrease in aspect ratio has resulted in loss of fluid vorticity at Ra=10^4.

Figure 6a and 6b reports the stream line and vorticity respectively for shallow, square and deep enclosures for different buoyancy ratio for Ra=10^3. At N=0 for pure thermal convection case dual cell structures compatible to enclosure shape are noticed for each aspect ratio. At N=1 Quadra cell structures again in a shape compatible to enclosure shape are recorded. Here at Ra=10^3 with weaker buoyancy forces, buoyancy ratio has not shown significant effect on fluid circulation. Any further improvement in buoyancy ratio has not shown any change in fluid circulation pattern. Vorticity contours (see Figure 6b) also reveal that except at N=10 values of vorticity are recorded very low. Thus heat transfer mode can be expected to be purely diffusive in nature in this case and the shape of thus formed fluid cells have not much to offer as they are almost still.

5.2 Thermal and solutal fields

Temperature distributions within all the three enclosures are illustrated for different N values in Figures 7 to 9. At Ra = 10^5 (Figure 7(i)), when N=0, a similar pattern is observed in case of square and deep enclosure compatible to the shape of the geometry. Isotherms are observed symmetric about mid-section in case of shallow enclosure but with increase in N value the pattern got upside down, this may be due to reverse in the direction of rotation of fluid cells. With increase in buoyancy ratio thermal gradients near bottom wall became denser for square, shallow, deep enclosures. At N=10, sharp and strong temperature gradients are observed in all the three enclosures, these contours are observed symmetric about mid-section of enclosure as similar to stream line pattern. With decrease in Rayleigh number to 10^4(Figure 8(i)), at N=0, the thermal buoyancy force is found sufficient
Fig. 5 Effect of buoyancy ratio on (i) stream line and (ii) vorticity patterns in cavities of aspect ratios (a) 0.5 (b) 1.0 and (c) 2.0 at Ra=10^4

Fig. 6 Effect of buoyancy ratio on (i) stream line and (ii) vorticity patterns in cavities of aspect ratios (a) 0.5 (b) 1.0 and (c) 2.0 at Ra=10^3
to set up fluid convection in case of square enclosure, isotherms here are observed as inverted ‘S’ shape. At this value, the other two enclosures exhibited only diffusive heat transfer with parallel temperature contours. As far as the variation in the shallow and deep enclosures are concerned no significant change is observed for N=0, 1 and 5. At N=10, isotherms are observed curvy indicating the sign of convection currents. In the case of square enclosure, isotherms are observed curvy with different pattern for different ‘N’ values which is due to the change in fluid flow pattern. Figure 7(ii) shows the temperature contours at Ra=10^5. At such low value of Rayleigh number the density gradients generated within the enclosure are found not strong enough to set up fluid circulation and hence heat transfer had taken place purely by diffusion. This trend is clearly observed in all the three enclosures for N varying from 0 to 5. However at N=10, slight fluid convection is found to be set up at the interior of the square enclosure. The reason may be that at N=10, the solutal buoyancy force which is now the order of 10 times to the thermal buoyancy forces is strong enough to produce some fluid movement.

Figures 7(ii), 8(ii), 9(ii) show the distributions of concentration in all the three enclosures at different values of N for Ra=10^3, 10^4 and 10^5 respectively. The concentration varies from zero to 1 from the top end to the bottom end of the vertical walls. At Ra equal to 10^5 (Figure 7(ii)), due to the effect of thermal buoyancy force decent fluid convection is set up in the enclosure. At N=0, sharp concentration gradients are observed in all the three enclosures. However, with increase in N from 0 to 1, the gradients in the enclosures got altered due to the onset of solutal buoyancy forces. As noticed in the vorticity contours the strength of circulation has enhanced with increase in N value, for N=5 in all the three enclosures iso-concentration lines observed steep indicating enhanced convective mass transfer and this has improved further when N is increased to 10. When Ra is decreased to 10^3 (Figure 8(ii)) at N=0, the concentration gradients appeared symmetric about half section in shallow and deep enclosures with a straight horizontal line of 0.5 value at mid-way, this trend indicates the absence of convective transport of mass. But the square enclosure could exhibit convective mass transfer which is resembled by its concentration distribution. With increase in N value, shallow and deep enclosures does not exhibit any change in the gradients of concentration up to N=5, whereas the gradients become very steep in the case of square enclosure. At N=10, due to the strong contribution of solutal buoyancy force, mass transfer happened by convection in all the enclosures and the iso-concentration line at mid-section are now altered in shallow enclosure. In the case of deep enclosure, the convective fluid flow produced concave and convex type iso-concentration lines. As seen from Figure 9(ii) for Ra=10^5, the effect of change in the value of N has not shown any significant variation in the concentration profile. The value of N is in relation to the strength of thermal buoyancy force, hence at Ra=10^3 the buoyancy force due to temperature gradient is small, hence convective flow is not observed in the enclosure and the concentration distributions correspond only to pure diffusive mass transfer process.

### 5.3 Nusselt number

The convective heat transfer that takes place within the enclosure is better understood by computing the Nusselt number, which is the non-dimensional temperature gradient at the hot wall. Figure 10 gives the local Nusselt number along the bottom wall in case of square enclosure for different Rayleigh number and buoyancy ratio. From Figure 10 a i.e at Ra=10^3 it is observed that with increment in buoyancy ratio from 1.0 to 5.0 Nusselt number has improved. Nusselt number curve is observed symmetric about mid-section when N is 10 where solutal buoyancy forces play strong role and when N=0 peak Nusselt number is observed at X=0.75, such variations in Nusselt number along the bottom wall are directly related to the fluid motion near bottom wall. With decrease in Rayleigh number to Ra=10^4 a similar kind of Nusselt number pattern is observed with change in buoyancy ratio. Here the difference is the curves tend to become flatter, and the magnitude of...
Fig. 8 Effect of buoyancy ration on (i)Iso-therms and (ii) Iso-concentration lines in cavities of aspect ratios (a)0.5 (b) 1.0 and (c)2.0 at Ra=10^4

Fig. 9 Effect of buoyancy ration on (i)Iso-therms and (ii) Iso-concentration lines in cavities of aspect ratios (a)0.5 (b) 1.0 and (c)2.0 at Ra=10^3
Fig. 10 Effect of buoyancy ratio on Nusselt number along the hot bottom wall in case of square cavity (AR=1.0) at different Rayleigh number (a) \(Ra=10^5\) (b) \(Ra=10^4\) and (c) \(Ra=10^3\)

Fig. 12 Effect of buoyancy ratio on Nusselt number along the hot bottom wall in case of shallow cavity (AR=0.5) at different Rayleigh number (a) \(Ra=10^5\) (b) \(Ra=10^4\) and (c) \(Ra=10^3\)
Nusselt number has decreased due to reduced strength of buoyancy forces. With further reduction in buoyancy ratio except at N=10 for all other buoyancy ratios the curves became completely flat indicating sign of very poor convection.

With increase in aspect ratio (see Figure 11) i.e in case of deep enclosure  (AR=2) Nusselt number curve became falter even at Ra=10^3, and at Ra=10^5 for all N=10 also no sign of any significant variation is observed in Nusselt number along the bottom wall. Another important observation is that peak Nusselt number is found lower than that of square enclosure case for all three Rayleigh number. In case of shallow enclosure (see Figure 12) the peak Nusselt number that has registered is observed higher than square and deep enclosures. At Ra=10^3 (Figure 12a) with increase in buoyancy ratio from N=1 to 10 heat transfer rate has slightly increased although flow structure remained same. In case of Ra=10^5 expect at N=10 for all other cases no variation in Nusselt number is observed with along the bottom wall and for Ra=10^6 even N=10 has not been effective.

Table 3 Comparison of average Nusselt number for different Rayleigh number and buoyancy ratio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ra</th>
<th>Square</th>
<th>Deep</th>
<th>Shallow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=0</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=10</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. CONCLUSIONS

A numerical study has been conducted on double diffusive natural convection phenomenon in the bottom heated enclosure of different aspect ratio under mass diffusive side walls. Finite element solution procedure has been used to solve governing equations and obtain the solution for the field variables vorticity, velocity, temperature and concentration. Simulation results have been obtained for convective heat transfer under varying Rayleigh number and buoyancy ratios in the range, 10^3≤Ra≤10^5 and 0≤N≤10 respectively for enclosures of three aspect ratios 0.5,1 and 2. Interaction of thermal and solutal buoyancy forces inside the enclosures resulted in following observations.

(i) Effect of solutal gradients imposed from boundary conditions greatly felt on fluid circulation inside the enclosure, in most of the cases solutal buoyancy forces had multiplied the convection cells and enhanced the fluid vorticity.

(ii) The maximum increase in the Nusselt number with increases in buoyancy ratio for deep enclosure, square and shallow enclosure are observed as 51%, 14.5% and 12.6% respectively.

(iii) Fluid vorticity got hampered slightly when both thermal and solutal buoyancy forces are of equal magnitude. And increase in strength of solutal buoyancy forces encouraged the formation of Quadra cell structures of greater vorticity strength.

(iv) At Ra=10^3 where vorticity of fluid cells is appreciably high, with increase in buoyancy ratio any variation in stream line pattern shown significant influence on bottom wall Nusselt number.

(v) Although the stream line pattern varied with increase in buoyancy ratio no significant change is observed in Nusselt number at Ra=10^4 and below especially in case of deep and shallow enclosures.

(vi) At Ra=10^5 change in buoyancy ratio as not shown any effect on Nusselt number for all three aspect ratios and four cell structures with shape compatible to aspect ratio of enclosure are observed when N>0.
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